

This is Google's cache of <https://thedonald.win/p/11RNY6cVNq/x/c/>. It is a snapshot of the page as it appeared on Dec 29, 2020 04:57:34 GMT. The [current page](#) could have changed in the meantime. [Learn more](#).

Full version [Text-only version](#) [View source](#)

Tip: To quickly find your search term on this page, press **Ctrl+F** or **⌘-F** (Mac) and use the find bar.

Win / TheDonald ▼

[Sign In](#) ≡

↑ [Hot](#) ▼

[All Posts](#) ▼

⚙ [Settings](#)

Win is taking on Big Tech

[CREATE AN ACCOUNT](#)

COMMUNITIES

🏠 [All](#)

🌐 [General](#)

😄 [Funny](#)

🐾 [Animals](#)

🏈 [Sports](#)

🎮 [Gaming](#)

👍 [Positive](#)

💓 [Health](#)

🔧 [DIY](#)

👤 [Privacy](#)

📌 [Meta](#)

ACCOUNT

👤 [Profile](#)

📁 [Saved](#)

^ [Upvoted](#)

🔍 [Hidden](#)

🔔 [Messages](#)

OTHER COMMUNITIES

[TheDonald](#)

[OmegaCanada](#)

[IP2Always](#)

[Conspiracies](#)

[GavinMcInnes](#)

[ConsumeProduct](#)

[KotakuInAction](#)

[KotakuInAction2](#)

[WeekendGunnit](#)

[TuckerCarlson](#)

[GreatAwakening](#)

[Changelogs](#)



[TheDonald](#) [America First!](#)

- [Discord](#)
- [Twitter](#)
- [Facebook](#)
- [Instagram](#)



[hot](#) [new](#) [rising](#) [top](#)



[Sign In](#) or [Create an Account](#)



Win uses cookies necessary for site functionality, as well as for personalization. By using this site, you agree to our use of cookies as described in our Privacy Policy.

OK, I agree.

^_705 v

[RELAX!!! I believe I understand the endgame and it is GOOD! Here's why POTUS wants supporters in DC on the 6th of January.](#)

posted 8 hours ago by [GEOTUSRocks](#) (edited) +707 / -2

The last two months have been a roller coaster ride. We've been let down by courts from the state level to the Supreme Court. We can't count on the legislators in Congress. None of this matters.

The plan...

Rudy & Jenna visited the contested state's legislative bodies to present evidence and make the case for fraudulent voting. The state legislators sent electors for Beijing Biden based on the "vote count". A second set of competing electors was sent for POTUS because the aforementioned evidence of fraud was compelling.

Fast Forward to January 6th...

VP Pence is in charge of counting the electors from the states. Since certain states have sent electors for both candidates, the VP has a decision.

- Select the electors for Beijing Biden
- Select the electors for POTUS
- Reject both sets of electors since a lawful election wasn't held as a consequence of fraud

If the VP accepts either electors, it would go to the floor for debate. This is not a good option given the makeup of the House of Representatives. The one vote per state delegation only applies in the event of a tie.

If the VP rejects both electors, which is his prerogative since he maintains plenary power over the process, then there is no debate and no electors count for the states in question. Consequently, POTUS is awarded 232 electors to Beijing Biden's 227 electors.

The candidate with the majority of electors wins. 270 electors are not required and this number is not mentioned in the Constitution

Why does POTUS want patriots in DC?

POTUS doesn't need patriots in DC for the day's events. The die is already cast and the outcome has already been determined. Hence POTUS' message that it will be **WILD**.

POTUS needs a strong showing on that day for the next 4 years. He needs to send a message to Congress, the media, and the public that he has solid support from the citizens.

We need to overwhelm the crooked city in massive numbers to let everyone know that the choices made by the VP were mandated by the citizens. We need to send a message that we have GEOTUS' back and they'd better not FAFO.

We're not going to DC on the 6th to protest.

We're going to CELEBRATE and show the world that President Trump has support that no other president has ever had.

I'll be there.

The last two months have been a roller coaster ride. We've been let down by courts from the state level to the Supreme Court. We can't count on the legislators in Congress. None of this matters. ****The plan...**** Rudy & Jenna visited the contested state's legislative bodies to present evidence and make the case for fraudulent voting. The state legislators sent electors for Beijing Biden based on the "vote count". A second set of competing electors was sent for POTUS because the aforementioned evidence of fraud was compelling. ****Fast Forward to January 6th...**** VP Pence is in charge of counting the electors from the states. Since certain states have sent electors for both candidates, the VP has a decision. * Select the electors for Beijing Biden * Select the electors for POTUS * Reject both sets of electors since a lawful election wasn't held as a consequence of fraud If the VP accepts either electors, it would go to the floor for debate. This is not a good option given the makeup of the House of Representatives. The one vote per state delegation only applies in the event of a tie. If the VP rejects both electors, which is his prerogative since he maintains plenary power over the process, then there is no debate and no electors count for the states in question. Consequently, POTUS is awarded 232 electors to Beijing Biden's 227 electors. The candidate with the majority of electors wins. 270 electors are not required and this number is not mentioned in the Constitution ****Why does POTUS want patriots in DC?**** POTUS doesn't need patriots in DC for the day's events. The die is already cast and the outcome has already been determined. Hence POTUS' message that it will be ****WILD****. POTUS needs a strong showing on that day for the next 4 years. He needs to send a message to Congress, the media, and the public that he has solid support from the citizens. We need to overwhelm the crooked city in massive numbers to let everyone know that the choices made by the VP were mandated by the citizens. We need to send a message that we have GEOTUS' back and they'd better not FAFO. We're not going to DC on the 6th

to protest. **We're going to CELEBRATE and show the world that President Trump has support that no other president has ever had.** I'll be there.

^_705 ▾

[113 comments share](#) ...

[113 comments share save hide report block hide child comments](#)

[Twitter](#) [Facebook](#) [Reddit](#) [Pinterest](#) [Snapchat](#) [Email](#)

Comments (113)

sorted by: ▾

▲ 59 ▾

– [Mrs. Fonebone](#) 59 points 8 hours ago +62 / -3

That's a very interesting post and I think you are right.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 43 ▾

– [GEOTUSRocks](#) [S] 43 points 8 hours ago +44 / -1 ([edited](#))

Here is other evidence to support a positive outcome:

- POTUS has stated confidently several times that they WON and WILL WIN. People in his inner circle have done the same.
- He continues to emphasize the amount of fraud that occurred.
- He made several personnel adjustments in strategic positions to ensure he has support when this move is made.
- Heels up Harris has not given up her Senate seat.
- The VP has absolute power over the process. This will not be the first time the VP has made a decision about competing electors. Thomas Jefferson did so in 1800 by choosing the electors for himself.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 29 ▾

– [Mrs. Fonebone](#) 29 points 8 hours ago +31 / -2

Trump has always anticipated their every move. One of the ones I use to red pill people with is the wee hours of Nov. 4th, when he spoke at 2:30 a.m. or so and said "I don't want to hear about trucks dropping off boxes of ballets at 3:00 or 3:45 a.m., which turned out to be the exact times the Dems did that. (I might be off on that 1st time, but the other was precisely 3:45. How in the world would he have known that in advance?

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 18 ▾

– [SneakyWino](#) 18 points 7 hours ago +18 / -0

NSA has all their comms. When justice is finally delivered, it's going to be epic.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 6 ▾

– [Mrs. Fonebone](#) 6 points 7 hours ago +8 / -2

Even...BIBLICAL!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 10 ▾

– [DarkMemeDuck](#) 10 points 7 hours ago +10 / -0

Just said that exact thing to my wife last night.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 5 ▼

= [evolenmity_5](#) 5 points 7 hours ago +6 / -1

Stop saying Harris hasnt given up her seat. Lots of them don't give it up until the last minute.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 7 ▼

= [banditbandit](#) 7 points 6 hours ago +7 / -0

Yeah, I don't know why people keep parroting this misinfo. Pence was governor right up until Jan 9, 2017:

<https://www.in.gov/governorhistory/2330.htm>

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

= [ProdigalSon1997](#) 3 points 7 hours ago +3 / -0

Thank you for being the voice of hope fren, really needed it

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ -1 ▼

= [Imransgarage](#) -1 points 7 hours ago +3 / -4

It's also inaccurate based on what's been stated by experts on War Room many times.

If neither candidate gets a majority, each state House of Representatives party majority will select an elector from that state. Winner of those becomes the winner.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 7 ▼

= [MeanOldMan](#) 7 points 6 hours ago +7 / -0

Same War Room guys that said they had 100% confidence that the Supreme Court would hear the Texas lawsuit? Or that they would hear a flip Philly?

I like watching this show, but these guys are a lot like the DOOMER HATERS on here. Trump cheerleader's.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

= [Imransgarage](#) 1 point 5 hours ago +1 / -0

This is not a prediction this is knowing how the constitution works.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 5 ▼

= [aonn](#) 5 points 7 hours ago +5 / -0

But 232 IS the majority. The only way a candidate doesn't get a majority is if the EV's are tied, like 269-269.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 4 ▼

= [TheMadManDidItAgain](#) 4 points 6 hours ago +4 / -0

Majority does not mean "most". It means over half. 232 is a plurality. It has to be 270 or more for a majority. These have very specific legal meanings.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

= [Imransgarage](#) 3 points 7 hours ago +3 / -0

It's more than the opponent but not the majority of electoral votes. They've reviewed it many times and I'm confident they know more OP on how it works.

Less than 270 = a POTUS win via the state legislatures.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

= deleted 1 point 7 hours ago +1 / -0

▲ 0 ▼

= [Mrs. Fonebone](#) 0 points 5 hours ago +2 / -2

There are several ways this could happen. The War Room saying it does not make it true.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

= [Imransgarage](#) 1 point 5 hours ago +1 / -0

That's the fun part about the constitution is anyone can read it.

If no Person have a Majority, then from the five highest on the List the said House shall in like Manner chuse the President. But in chusing the President, the Votes shall be taken by States, the Representation from each State having one Vote; A quorum for this Purpose shall consist of a Member or Members from two thirds of the States, and a Majority of all the States shall be necessary to a Choice.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 21 ▼

= [slokill](#) 21 points 8 hours ago +21 / -0

Sounds good to me.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 18 ▼

= [APoxOnVax](#) 18 points 8 hours ago +18 / -0

The fact that Trump appears to be staking EVERYTHING on this....eschewing the EO, etc.....leads me to believe that you are right.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 18 ▼

= [Bloodylouver](#) 18 points 8 hours ago +18 / -0

i hope you are right.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ -16 ▼

= deleted -16 points 7 hours ago +3 / -19

▲ 5 ▼

= [ThePedeJames](#) 5 points 7 hours ago +5 / -0

well you can go fuck yourself

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ -10 ▼

= [sayit](#) -10 points 7 hours ago +0 / -10

The truth sets you free friend.

This OP is fake news. Sorry but you can kill the messenger all you want.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

= [ThePedeJames](#) 2 points 6 hours ago +2 / -0

what is negativity going to do? noone has time for your doomer shit

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ -8 ▼

= [sayit](#) -8 points 6 hours ago +1 / -9

Negativity? You mean truth?

Fact is no state sent dueling electors. Believing that lie does us no good at all. None.

We have to deal with reality and work from there!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

= [Redpillhope](#) 3 points 5 hours ago +3 / -0

What makes you say that no state sent duel electors?

https://www.theepochtimes.com/electors-in-7-states-cast-dueling-votes-for-trump_3620059.html?utm_source=share-btn-copylink&st=hwmyzffdr8ldaL2xge5265h_Nm3R1JtRO6ReAqu4nBY_DY7mpntCjtlEsuFhmimEpXNRKgnhhPs-hRyfp1LMeme1sPOOWgaRGcs

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

= [SpaceCityPede](#) 2 points 7 hours ago +2 / -0

Oh, okay.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 16 ▼

= [TexasPride](#) 16 points 8 hours ago +16 / -0

I hope you're right

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 16 ▼

= [1sketchyv](#) 16 points 8 hours ago +16 / -0

Good post, I wish I could go.

Do a few USA chants for me and the others that can't be there.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [SneakyWino](#) 2 points 7 hours ago +3 / -1

Yeah, I started a new job six weeks ago and have one day off accrued so far. No chance for DC or my state capitol. Feels bad, man, but I can't wait to see the turnout!!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 15 ▼

– [Bonededdy](#) 15 points 8 hours ago +15 / -0

Good synopsis and my feelings as well. My wife and I will be there.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 14 ▼

– [Feddney](#) 14 points 7 hours ago +14 / -0

I hope you're right.

Pray for peace.

Prepare for war.

This is war

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 12 ▼

– [Dutz68](#) 12 points 8 hours ago +12 / -0

That was the key all along. Pressuring state bodies from the key states to put forth competing electors.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ -19 ▼

– [sayit](#) -19 points 7 hours ago +1 / -20

Yet. Not one did. **Not one.**

Thats why this whole post is FAKE NEWS!!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 7 ▼

– [Sweetlysouthern1409](#) 7 points 7 hours ago +7 / -0

Yeah, they did. Lmao.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ -8 ▼

– [sayit](#) -8 points 6 hours ago +1 / -9

Show us where it happened. Show one article where one legislature voted to send dueling electors for Trump just one. **You can't!** It is fake news!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

– [Redpillhope](#) 3 points 5 hours ago +3 / -0

https://www.theepochtimes.com/electors-in-7-states-cast-dueling-votes-for-trump_3620059.html?utm_source=share-btn-copylink&st=hwmyzffdR8ldal2xge5265h_Nm3R1JtRO6ReAqu4nBY_DY7mpntCjtlEsuFhmimEpXNRKgnhhPs-hRyfp1LMeme1sPOOWgaRGcs

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 5 ▼

– [evolenmity](#) 5 points 7 hours ago +5 / -0

Looks like we have a possible deportee.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 4 ▼

– [Paveto](#) 4 points 7 hours ago +4 / -0

There are competing electors even from New Mexico..

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ -7 ▼

– [sayit](#) -7 points 7 hours ago +1 / -8

No. There are not.

Show us where one state legislature voted to send dueling electors. Just one state. You can't do it **because it didn't happen.**

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 4 ▼

– [Dutz68](#) 4 points 6 hours ago +4 / -0

Like the ones from MI that were barred from entering the Statehouse per Whitmer's orders? That was even covered on MSM.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ -3 ▼

– [sayit](#) -3 points 6 hours ago +2 / -5

Yep. Exactly like those.

Perfect example!

The **state legislatures** have to send dueling electors. In your exact example you just have Republican electors wanting to declare themselves the electors. It doesn't work like that!!

It was a dog and pony show with zero legal Authority!!

The Republican controlled **state legislatures** had to officially vote and send a separate set of electors. Not one state legislature ever did that. They betrayed Trump and us too.

Pretending that matter was **FAKE NEWS!!! ALWAYS HAS BEEN.**

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

= [Lbrtyordeath](#) 2 points 6 hours ago +2 / -0

Away with you shill!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 11 ▼

= [Mad_Maximo](#) 11 points 8 hours ago +11 / -0

This is a damn good perspective. I will see you there.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 11 ▼

= [StaryHickory](#) 11 points 7 hours ago +11 / -0

I honestly think the president believes some of the information they have yet to reveal to the public will change the game that by the 6th it will be obvious what has to occur. This is my hope. Or for some odd reason on the 6th in front of the congressional building they show the evidence live on a big screen.

No idea why they are still waiting on releasing this info we are mere days away from the 6th already.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 8 ▼

= [GEOTUSRocks](#) [S] 8 points 7 hours ago +9 / -1

I believe this to be the case as well. Based upon comments from POTUS, Rudy, and others, it appears there will be a dump of evidence before the 6th. This is done strategically to not only present the masses with the evidence, but to give Pence the foundation for the actions that he will take.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

= [ex67v](#) 3 points 7 hours ago +3 / -0

Also: Dumping the info last minute gives the Dems no time to cobble together some kind of lame excuse or defense.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 10 ▼

= [Bullet Tooth Tony](#) 10 points 8 hours ago +10 / -0

Saved this post. Thanks, pede.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 9 ▼

= [MooseKnukl3](#) 9 points 8 hours ago +9 / -0

This is why he wants us in DC we are the army to counter their plot to steal the election This xoom meeting was secretly recorded and the video explains their plan completely Dec 5th and 6th. <https://youtu.be/Sr8xXr5iZG4>

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 5 ▼

= [GEOTUSRocks](#) [S] 5 points 7 hours ago +6 / -1

INTERESTING!!!

Thanks for sharing.

Even more reason for people who support free and fair elections to show up in MASSIVE numbers.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [Cyer6](#) 2 points 6 hours ago +2 / -0

Interesting that they talk about a Trump "narrow" win or Biden "narrow" win. They didn't calculate or anticipate a Trump landslide that was stolen and how outraged we would be.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 6 ▼

– [Trumpfanbigly](#) 6 points 7 hours ago +6 / -0

BUT BUT , no one gets hung..... WTF....

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 5 ▼

– [Bzmentor](#) 5 points 8 hours ago +5 / -0

Nice !

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 5 ▼

– [Totem](#) 5 points 7 hours ago +5 / -0

I love this, thank you for posting!

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 4 ▼

– [JoeTrump](#) 4 points 8 hours ago +4 / -0

If ONLY that were True and 100% Guaranteed!

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 4 ▼

– [donniedarko](#) 4 points 7 hours ago +5 / -1 ([edited](#))

Think about it: For this NOT to happen Pence has to backstab Trump. Who thinks that? If that happens that would be the biggest betrayal after Judas.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [fapoo](#) 1 point 6 hours ago +1 / -0

And then i think Trump would enact IA.Final card?

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 4 ▼

– [MoustacheWax69](#) 4 points 7 hours ago +4 / -0

I hope and pray to God Almighty that you are right. It has been so hard to keep my chin held high and my American pride in tact over these last few weeks. I have never felt so demoralized and spiritually, emotionally, and physically drained in my life. Trying with all my might to not doom...but it's so hard.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 4 ▼

– [christosgnosis](#) 4 points 7 hours ago +4 / -0

The flaw in this plan is it depends on one certain man turning out to be a patriot - Mike Pence

That's still a big question mark. Pence did very well in the election debates, but if there is a plan to massively steal the election, then there's no problem with going all out on Pres. Trump's behalf. So things like this don't provide a sufficient read on Pence.

Consider how Mitch McConnell helped Pres. Trump to appoint federal judges, etc. But then when it came down to the crucial matter of the election stealing, McConnell was a turn coat

And Mark Meadows is a complete ass wipe of a chief of staff

And Pres. Trump's legal counsel (not talking about Rudy) are complete narcissistic mercenaries that when it comes down to the fate of the nation, have more concern about landing their next gigs in cushy, much higher paying positions in a Beijing Biden era.

So is Pence a reliable patriot that will put the fate of the republic as the highest priority of his office?

If you think you have an iron clad analysis that Pence is a patriot and would carry through on this plan, then, please - lay it out

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [Redpillgirlfrommars](#) 2 points 3 hours ago +2 / -0

Pence has been in support of Trump/uncovering fraud/counting only legal votes since the election. One news outlet had a story saying Pence was distancing himself after the election fraud claims and he immediately came out and said that wasn't true, but it seems as if no one paid attention to his response and only made note of the (fake news) article. He's been spending most of his time doing covid-vaccination related things and spoke at a couple Georgia rallies (where he talks about election fraud), so we're not hearing much from him. But not hearing much about election fraud doesn't mean he's going to betray Trump. He's never once questioned the election fraud claims and has always backed Trump. It just seems like he took on extra responsibilities to give Trump more time to focus on the fraud/all that entails.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [christosgnosis](#) 2 points 3 hours ago +2 / -0

thanks for responding - oh, and hope things going well for you folks from Mars :-)

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [T-Bear](#) 1 point 2 hours ago +1 / -0

Consider how Mitch McConnell helped Pres. Trump to appoint federal judges, etc. But then when it came down to the crucial matter of the election stealing, McConnell was a turn coat"

Mitch McConnell never has been on Trump's side. If Mitch were on Trump's side, Mitch would have allowed the Senate to recess, giving Trump the opportunity to get his selections into key positions, without the circus "advise and consent" has become.

Mitch McConnell had his own axe to grind against the Democrats, for what they did to Scalia in a plot to pack the court with Leftist votes for Hillary. He was on his own mission, not Trump's. Their aims only happened to align more or less in the same direction.

Those SC justices Mitch confirmed, though, ALL turn their backs on Trump when Trump needed them to stand up. That kind of shoots down the "But Mitch did judges for Trump" thing. Not one of them is standing tall for trump right now - they are intimidated into silence.

If Mitch had shown, in promoting Trump's agenda and people, even half the balls he showed in shutting down Merrick Garland as a SC candidate, we probably wouldn't be going through this election mess right now.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [Redpillhope](#) 1 point 5 hours ago +1 / -0

I still remember this one thing Pence did... when the gotcha fake news came out with the pussy grabber tape, Pence made a strong statement decrying Trump's behavior.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

– [RubiconMan98](#) 🗨️ 3 points 7 hours ago +3 / -0

I TOLD YOU, ITS A CIVIL WAR, NOT A PROTEST. SIMPS DOWNVOTED ME. MAGA

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

– [ProdigalSon1997](#) 3 points 7 hours ago +3 / -0

[u/GEOTUSRocks](#)

Why have people been telling me that Pence doesn't have sole authority to disqualify electors? I've been really back and forth because of all the psyops, but deep down I do think he has the authority to disqualify them. What do you think is the truth ,fren ?

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 4 ▼

– [fapoo](#) 4 points 6 hours ago +4 / -0

Apparently Thomas jefferson did and essentially handed himself the presidency

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

– [Jbsmoover](#) 3 points 7 hours ago +4 / -1

Exactly. This is the same play as that "Who Wants To Be A Millionaire" guy who used his "phone a friend" to tell his mom that he was about to become a millionaire.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

– [JesusisKing](#) 3 points 7 hours ago +3 / -0

And Pence will solidify his place as the next president

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 0 ▼

– [MyDogCompletesMe](#) 0 points 3 hours ago +1 / -1

You got that right. In fact, he'll be able to select himself next election, anyway!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 3 ▼

– [boreal_storm](#) 3 points 4 hours ago +3 / -0

If the VP rejects both electors, which is his prerogative since he maintains plenary power over the process, then there is no debate and no electors count for the states in question. Consequently, POTUS is awarded 232 electors to Beijing Biden's 227 electors.

I confirm these numbers, assuming the VP rejects the electors of MI, GA, WI, PA, AZ and NV.

IMPORTANT: There is precedent. In the presidential election of 1872, the electors of 2 states were rejected due to voter fraud.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [DontTreadOnIT](#) 2 points 7 hours ago +2 / -0

Correct me if I'm wrong - but won't Biden come down to 222 electoral votes if it plays out like that?

NV, AZ, NM, WI, MI, PA, GA sent dueling electors.

Otherwise it sounds like you have a good grasp of the situation. I hope you are right.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [JackDallas](#) 2 points 7 hours ago +2 / -0

Consequently, POTUS is awarded 232 electors to Beijing Biden's 227 electors.

Explain the math please.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [GEOTUSRocks](#) [S] 1 point 7 hours ago +2 / -1 ([edited](#))

There are 6 states contested:

- Arizona: 11
- Georgia: 16
- Michigan: 16
- Nevada: 6
- Pennsylvania: 20
- Wisconsin: 10

All of the states above have legitimate claims of fraud and all were allocated to Beijing Biden by the "vote."

If you exclude these electors as a consequence of the fraud you end up with: POTUS: 232

Beijing B: 227

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [Familyman](#) 2 points 4 hours ago +3 / -1

I don't think DJT would ask everyone to come just for a celebration. I think he needs us if SHTF. We can celebrate from anywhere, I don't think this is about that. Everyone who can, even if it's not convenient, come to DC and be ready to defend our republic.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [MeanOldMan](#) 2 points 6 hours ago +2 / -0

Nicely written. It's why I celebrated back when all the states officially sent in contested ballots.

<https://thedonald.win/p/11R4bsuIUg/trump-winning-uncontested-electo/>

it was the goal all along.. that's why very little has transpired since

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [oshead](#) 2 points 6 hours ago +2 / -0

Yep, sounds plausible.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [dakin116](#) 2 points 7 hours ago +2 / -0

Interesting, the 270 is just the majority of the current amount of electors. So 270 is no hard number in the constitution. If some states have contested electors Pence throws them both out and we just count the certifiable number which goes 232-227 GEOTUS. I think Rob Barnes alluded to something similar today, and I think this is what Bannon has been going on about

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [Weze](#) 2 points 6 hours ago +2 / -0

Fantastic post. It makes sense.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [phunxyn](#) 2 points 2 hours ago +2 / -0

Very interesting. Given the repeated statements regarding the false or fraudulent votes that were certified, I can see they were starting to make that case right away. The state legislature hearings were very important even tho the left and some rino's didn't really understand the tactic until the witnesses got to be heard by millions of Americans. They needed to prove the vote is invalid and certifying an invalid fraudulent vote means they won't count your electors

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [too-late-for-canada](#) 2 points 7 hours ago +2 / -0

Crazy idea but how about if everyone here who is going on Jan 6 adopt some kind of "badge of honour" beside all their posts. Like each post begins with a asterisk or something. I wold love to see that. I sure hope you Americans show up in massive numbers. Would also love to see some trusted sources set up central funding that we can all contribute to also.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 0 ▼

– [GEOTUSRocks](#) [S] 0 points 7 hours ago +1 / -1

Good idea. I'll get it started...

"I'll Be There"

IBT

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [Artek](#) 2 points 1 hour ago +2 / -0

I mean, I know its one of those PLANS but this one seems plausible. The Don hasn't wavered, and they do actually know already what's going to happen. If Kmalala hasn't rescinded her senate seat yet that means at the very least they're not confident. They're battering this defense bill (packed with a lot of stupid) around like they're trying to get the best bang for their unearned buck.. It smells like a snatch and grab. Biden can't become president (corrupt), the election was rigged (pile of evidence), and Zuckerberg being caught raping the internet.. They've lost.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [Sweetlysouthern1409](#) 2 points 7 hours ago +2 / -0

This gave me goose bumps.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [KiltedTailor](#) 2 points 3 hours ago +2 / -0

For a happy change; and well written, well thought out, and well presented Case of the Facts; that makes sense, follows the Constitution and outlined procedures of Congress, and insures a win.

Absolutely nothing else makes any sense, IF POTUS is correct and says he won.

Thank you--- I have been in 'the famous fog' for several weeks over this matter

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [DonttrustChina](#) 2 points 4 hours ago +2 / -0

He also needs us there in case of funny business. Then it'll be more than just a rally.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [MyDogCompletesMe](#) 1 point 3 hours ago +2 / -1

He needs us there to make sure that Pence stays on board. Pence is under a lot of pressure, too. He could still bail.

It's not about a revolution, it's encouragement.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

= [Juzeza](#) 1 point 7 hours ago +1 / -0

Who's not relaxed? This was over in 2k16

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

= [HORAC PINKER](#) 1 point 7 hours ago +3 / -2

I am very optimistic about this. I give this 1% chance.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

= [OwlHoller](#) 1 point 5 hours ago +1 / -0

I have a very different opinion of what POTUS is going to do / say on January 6th, and I hope to God your take is right.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

= [gbolcer](#) 1 point 7 hours ago +1 / -0

I think the 12th amendment states that there's a majority of electors, then there is a winner, so even though 270 isn't mentioned, it's implicit. What's more interesting is if no candidate has a majority.

(I expect Wikipedia to be changing their text of the 12th amendment any day now. Don't rely on them)

<https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/GPO-CONAN-1992/pdf/GPO-CONAN-1992-10-13.pdf>

The person having the greatest number of votes for President, shall be the President, if such number be a majority of the whole number of Electors appointed; and if no person have such majority, then from the persons having the highest numbers not exceeding three on the list of those voted for as President, the House of Representatives shall choose immediately, by ballot, the President, the votes shall be taken by states, the representation from each state having one vote; a quorum for this purpose shall consist of a member or members from two-thirds of the states, and a majority of all the states shall be necessary to a choice.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

= [boreal storm](#) 2 points 4 hours ago +2 / -0

The threshold for victory is a simple majority of the electors appointed [and not rejected]. If all states' (and DC's) electors are appointed [and not rejected], the simple majority is 270. If some electors are not appointed or are rejected, the number falls below 270.

In a 2-man race, the only way one cannot have a majority is a tie.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 0 ▼

= [sustainable saltmine](#) 0 points 8 hours ago +7 / -7

he can't just "Select the electors for Trump" if they send Biden electors and "certified" them. He can accept Biden's or no one's. He can't substitute Trump's for Biden's on his own.

270 isn't mentioned because there weren't enough states at the time to give 270 when it was written. There haven't always been 538 total electoral votes.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 11 ▼

– [GEOTUSRocks](#) [S] 11 points 8 hours ago +12 / -1

He's not going to select either as there are competing electors and evidence of fraud

He will reject both.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 5 ▼

– [AnotherPedeInTheWall](#) 5 points 8 hours ago +6 / -1

At which point it goes to a contingent election by state delegation and trump wins. It does not get decided if you get less than the majority of the electors by who has the most but not 270. That is the only wrong part about your analysis. End is the same a trump win.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 6 ▼

– [GEOTUSRocks](#) [S] 6 points 8 hours ago +7 / -1

The Constitution states the winner will be the candidate that has the majority of electors. Hence the reason 270 is not mentioned in the Constitution. 270 happens to be the number of electors for the current scenario of 50 states with the amount of electors assigned to all.

The Constitution also states that the state delegation count only applies in the event that the electors are counted and the result is a tie.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [AnotherPedeInTheWall](#) 1 point 8 hours ago +2 / -1

And less than 270 is less than the majority of electors. If electors are not assigned doesn't mean they don't exist. It goes to a contingent election.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 5 ▼

– [GEOTUSRocks](#) [S] 5 points 8 hours ago +6 / -1

The electors are only "in play" if the VP counts them. If he rejects them, then the total pool of electors is reduced.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 4 ▼

– [AnotherPedeInTheWall](#) 4 points 7 hours ago +4 / -0

That is where you are fundamentally mistaken. But either way it ends in a Trump win so we are arguing semantics.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 4 ▼

– [OrangeElvis](#) 4 points 7 hours ago +4 / -0

Yeah - I don't think that is correct. The number of electors total doesn't drop if one or more are not counted. They are "disputed", therefore the number does not change.

Like AnotherPedeInTheWall said - either way, Trump should win.

Personally, I think the point becomes moot, as Biden will likely be indicted before then.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [boreal_storm](#) 1 point 4 hours ago +1 / -0

Nope. If some states' electors are rejected, those electors are removed from the pool. Hence, the majority number required for victory is reduced.

See the presidential election of 1872 for precedent. The electors of 2 states were rejected.

Reference: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1872_United_States_presidential_election

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 1 ▼

– [Epy00](#) 1 point 7 hours ago +1 / -0

It's not a majority of eligible electors, it's a majority of potential electors, meaning 270. If neither candidate gets to 270 it goes to state delegation instead.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [JoeTrump](#) 2 points 8 hours ago +2 / -0

Yes he can... if Fraud shown! And there is Overwhelming Evidence!

Question is, will Pence actually do it!

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ -2 ▼

– deleted -2 points 7 hours ago +2 / -4

▲ -3 ▼

– [marishiten](#) -3 points 7 hours ago +1 / -4

Don't bank on that. Let's say that rolls how you say:

You don't think the Democrats won't shove through every single lawsuit they can think of minutes after it happens?

You don't think the Supreme Court will then intervene? Because that sounds pretty fucking unconstitutional to just reject it flat out like that. There might not be anything in the constitution that says Pence CAN'T do that, but I can promise you that SCOTUS would rule that shit unconstitutional in a heart beat (and it'd be real hard to argue against that).

The courts would nullify the whole thing and just act like kingmakers. Because that's what Pence would be doing.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

– [Bushum](#) 2 points 5 hours ago +2 / -0

They tried to steal the election and destroy our republic in front of our eyes and then spent the next two months lying to us. I don't care if that damn groundhog sees his shadow and that's how they make the decision. Donald Trump won this election. Whatever has to happen to affirm this I am 100% fine with.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ -3 ▼

= [sayit](#) -3 points 7 hours ago +7 / -10

... A second set of competing electors was sent for POTUS because the aforementioned evidence of fraud was compelling.

This right here is a blatant lie. Therefore your entire post is based on a false premise. Not one legislature sent dueling electors. **Not one!**

What happened was a bunch of Republican electors declared themselves the electors for their state. Which has legally no bearing at all.

That is what has been most infuriating to me, is that the Republicans collectively turn their back on Trump and the American people.... Well that and the **fake news** on this web site, **like your post here.**

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

= [model](#) 2 points 7 hours ago +2 / -0

Nevertheless, pence can throw out the state, which was the conclusion anyway

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ -4 ▼

= [sayit](#) -4 points 7 hours ago +1 / -5

I doubt that is true.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

= [fapoo](#) 2 points 6 hours ago +2 / -0

people point back to when Jefferson did this and have himself the presidency by doing so.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

= [model](#) 2 points 5 hours ago +2 / -0

I doubt you know what you're talking about

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ 2 ▼

= [Redpillhope](#) 2 points 5 hours ago +2 / -0

Why are you so convinced? I searched it and you appear to be parroting politifact or NYT, neither of which are reliable for facts.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

search community...

Welcome to The Donald!

Welcome to the forum of choice for The President of The United States, Donald Trump!

Be advised this forum is for serious supporters of President Trump. We have discussions, memes, AMAs, and more. We are not politically correct.

Our Rules

Trump Supporters

This is The Donald. Our community is a high-energy Trump rally. There are no exceptions.

Follow the Law

No posts or comments that violate laws in your jurisdiction or the United States. The Feds are always watching!

No Racism

No racism, including slurs, non-factual content, and general unfounded bigotry.

No Doxing

No doxing, including revealing personal information of non-public figures, as well as addresses, phone numbers, etc. of public figures.

Be Respectful

Be respectful to fellow The Donald community members.

No Self Promotion

Linking or promoting merchandise, fundraising, or spamming personal websites, blogs, or channels is not permitted.

Questions and Concerns

All moderation questions and concerns should be [submitted via modmail](#).

Don't be Dumb

Remember, you represent the movement against Globalism, Communism and Progressive Insanity. Your comments and posts may become news.

Election Information

Campaign Information

- [Volunteer for Trump](#)

- [Donate to Trump](#)
 - [Buy Trump Merch](#)
 - [Join a Trump Coalition](#)
 - [Fundraise for Trump](#)
-

Voting Information

- [Register to Vote](#)
- [Verify Your Voter Registration](#)
- [Military & Overseas Citizens](#)
- [USA.gov Voter Resources](#)

Moderators

- [Shadowman3001](#)
- [Ivaginaryfriend](#)
- [Doggos](#)
- [CovfefeBucks](#)
- [zettapede](#)
- [pray_for_kekistan](#)
- [Katfish29](#)
- [mivvan](#)
- [and 18 more...](#)

[Message the Moderators](#)

[Terms of Service](#) | [Privacy Policy](#)

v1.2.1.15 - r26xq ([status](#))



Copyright © thedonald.win 2020

[Terms of Service](#) | [Privacy Policy](#)